



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CJAST_46341 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluation of Nb-Ni Influence on the Mechanical Behavior in a Cu-Al-Be Shape Memory Alloy |
| Type of Article: | Original Research Article |

PART 2:

| FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any) | Authors' response to final evaluator's comments |
|--|---|
| <p>All the proposed changes were done. I recommended this manuscript for publication after introduce some little changes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This should be rewritten: "From Figure 2 the average grain size is 1200μm 469μm and 394μm for Alloy₁, Alloy₂ and Alloy₃, respectively." Something like "As can be seen in Figure 2, the obtained average grain size is 1200 μm, 469 μm and 394 μm for Alloy₁, Alloy₂ and Alloy₃. respectively. "Figure 3 shows typical curves resulted from DSC tests for one heating/cooling cycle. In this case. the results are presented only for Alloy₂, because all THE alloyS present the same behavior (SIMILAR CURVES)." So, I reach to the conclusion that the difference in chemical composition is not enough to change the critical temperatures. This is important and should be highlighted in the final manuscript, please. <p>"The strips produced...." The produced strips.....</p> | <p>All recommendations are accepted and corrected</p> |