



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_47270
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated nutrient management with biofertilizers in different genotypes of rice sown under aerobic conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The paper is important because it provides information to improve the nutritional management of rainfed rice and its productivity</p> <p>The objective of work is clear and precise In materials and methods no reference is made to the methodologies for the statistical analysis of the results. Apparently an ANOVA was performed by the Fisher LSD test, it should be described. In the results there are significant effects of interaction between genotype and application of nutrients for several of the analyzed variables, this effect is not considered in the discussion of the results and if the individual effects are not important when the interaction is significant. In the results are presented data of absorption of nutrients and economic, as these results are obtained are not described in materials and methods. The conclusions are very poor.</p>	<p>Corrected</p> <p>Conclusions have been re-written</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The research is complete and well conducted, providing a large amount of information, which is not fully reflected in the paper. the materials and methods should be reviewed and completed as well as the analysis of the results placing more emphasis on the significant effects of the interactions, from these results can be drawn several important conclusions</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	