



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_47287</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Constraints faced in functioning of self help groups by the member in Punjab district</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Reseafch</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b>-Is hanging as there is no explanation of how the women in Punjab district were disadvantaged</p> <p><b>Introduction</b>-Make it more robust by relevant and current citations. Eg there is no any relevant citation in the second paragraph hence the literature here is regarded as a heresy. -Improve on the sentence structures and grammar. -There is also lack of coherent information flow.</p> <p><b>Objectives of the Study.</b> Restructure them to reflect the purpose of the study as highlighted in the manuscript.</p> <p><b>Methodology.</b> Was fairly done. However, identify and briefly describe the research design employed in the study.</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion.</b> Critically discuss the results by citing previous studies that support and oppose the current study.</p> <p><b>Conclusions.</b> Make conclusions based on each objective</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>-Could include recommendations in the study</p> <p>-Maintain the APA style in the whole document</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>-The article is fairly good</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	