



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_47458
Title of the Manuscript:	Extricate the impact of tactics of Nitrogen source on development of Lilium cultivars.
Type of the Article	Type of article.

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract should always be in single lines. 2. Etc. should be avoided as much as possible. 3. Type of research needs to be indicated. 4. There should be uniformity in the write up such as plant⁻¹ and ha⁻¹. 5. All discussion(s) should be followed by the relevant table. 6. Tables up to four were discussed but not presented well. They should be moved to their proper place i.e. after every discussion on the table. The tables should be in three lines. 7. The figures were not discussed. 8. Reference should come last where there are no appendices. 9. The references should be numbered and the corresponding numbers should appear in the text. But if the style is acceptable by you, then ok. 10. Reconcile, are they cultivars or variety?. 	<p>Thank you so much for these constructive suggestions Article has been revised according to the comments</p> <p>Tables and figures have been moved into the respective section</p> <p>Figures have inserted Reference corrected</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.



SDI Review Form 1.6

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>