



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_47481
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF MAJOR FARMING SYSTEMS IN HYDERABAD-KARNATAKA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: It is too brief, I will suggest the author(s) should expand it. The objective of the study should also be stated.</p> <p>Empirical Literature: the author(s) did not review any empirical work which is rather surprising. It is general global practice that, all academic papers should all review previous studies carried out in the research area of interest.</p> <p>Methodology: Can we conduct a research study without methodological framework? The paper should provide us with population of the study, sample size and sampling techniques of the study, sources of data and method of data analysis</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. Introduction modified</p> <p>The manuscript has been modified accordingly following your comments.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>It is not entirely clear to me whether the author(s) is citing this Ramesh chand, (2016) along with his first name and surname! By rule, citations are only done with surnames not first name or first name and surname combined.</p>	<p>Corrected</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>The author should do more to transform his paper to academic paper.</p>	<p>Thank you</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	