



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_47550
Title of the Manuscript:	Screen time and physical activity pattern of school children (11-17 years) from different cultural regions of Punjab, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Paper on the topic has been written to fill in a gap in how children spent time in school. This is commendable. However, the researchers should consider doing the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Format of the paper should be guided by the journal's guidelines on formatting. 2. The paper is so brief in most of its sections. Meaning that there is need to fill in some gaps in all sections especially introduction, where it is expected literature review will be sufficient. 3. Methodology section is ok, but let the writers give a detailed structure of how the questionnaires looked like. This was not mentioned in the abstract but brief included in the methodology. 4. Data analysis was well done. This is encouraging. 5. Results have been well presented with tables and figures to explain further details. The issue of the literature review has not been addressed clearly as only few studies have been cited to compare with the findings from this study. Expectations are high that discussion should compare notes (studies) and your findings. 6. The reference sections may need to follow journal's guidelines on whether to use APA or MLA. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Paper formatted according to journal's guidelines. 2. Additions in introduction done as suggested 3. Questionnaire included (Appendix I) 4. Studies added in results and discussion section 5. References formatted as suggested
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>