



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_49236
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceptions of ex-convicts on rehabilitation programmes in preparing for reintegration in Botswana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Author describing and analysing in his article titled 'Perceptions of ex-convicts on rehabilitation programmes in preparing for reintegration in Botswana is Very Good.</p> <p>With best of my knowledge, some general points find out and noted down below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In this article, author used only descriptive mode for explanation of the above topic • He clearly described entire the problem but not given any suggestions to solve this. • ex-convict re-integration process responsibility is taken to Concern government. It was very complicated issue, think on society and humanity grounds. • Author not used any statistical tools for analysing the problem and mostly depend on secondary data. His own contribution is limited • Author specified Abstract and other information in universal format • Article design and analysing pattern is very good. • Sufficient references are included. <p>Finally, this article is graded Very good.</p>	Thanks for your valuable comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	