



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_49450
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Moringa in the Removal of Salts from the Desalinator Reject
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract Have correct values as reported in the results section and include conclusion of the study</p> <p>Methodology Include statement on characterization of the reject water</p> <p>Results and discussion Include a table showing physicochemical characteristics of the reject</p> <p>Explain the observations in this work. Account for differences between the treatments in their performance.</p>	<p>Ok</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>The physicochemical characteristics of the desalinizer reject are presented in the methodology.</p> <p>Accepted and corrected suggestions. After griffled in Yellow in the text.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	See typographical and other changes suggested in the manuscript	Ok
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no.