



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_49524
Title of the Manuscript:	Resurrection of Indian Agriculture through revolutionary innovations of science and technology
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This study was a descriptive research that attempted to explain the current and future challenges and recent innovations of science and technologies in Indian agriculture. The research has made good attempt to review the scientific technologies and innovations in overall agriculture sector in India. However, the study did not provide clear contribution from the discussion on recent innovations of science and technologies used. Hence, the study is suggested to improve the Conclusion section including practical contribution of the study.	As in the article we did not include the data related to technology adaptation in Indian farming communities and their impact assessment on Indian agriculture. This data is currently unavailable as most of the innovations discussed are not currently adopted at wide scale in Indian farming communities. Thus the suggested point cannot be included in current manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	Refer comments given in the manuscript.	Accepted and incorporated.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	