



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Chemical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_46383
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION REPELLENCY OF Pelargonium citrosum AND Rosmarinus officinalis AGAINST HOUSEFLY, Musca domestica L. (DIPTERA: MUSCIDAE)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Under MATERIALS AND METHODS</p> <p>1) "Extraction of essential oils from the ground plant samples were done through hydro-distillation using Clevenger apparatus. The condensed extract was collected in n-hexane and filtered using"</p> <p>2) "Mass range: 40 – 470 u"</p> <p>3) It is common practice to include the methods used to identify the compounds present in the essential Oils. For example: "The identify of the constituents was confirmed using 2014 NIST (or any year/data base) and Retention Index."</p> <p>Under RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</p> <p>Add tables related to Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 which contain a list of the compounds; method used to provide identify; % of the compound in the sample; any references important to that specific compound which the authors consider important.</p> <p><b>1) I am guessing that the authors mean "added to, or extracted with" and not "collected in" which infers that the hydrodistillation was carried out using hexane?</b></p> <p><b>2) Please change "u" to "amu"</b></p>	<p>1. Correction agreed</p> <p>2. Correction agreed</p> <p>3. The GC-MS used to identify the compounds has a library data hence eliminating the need for other databases</p> <p><u>Under results and discussion</u></p> <p>The tables indicating the compounds' retention time and their peak heights will be incorporated in the manuscript.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No