SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Chemical Science International Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_CSIJ_46800**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Nutrient Status of Leachates from Selected Dumpsites in Port Harcourt Metropolis, Nigeria.**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Make sure you tie your conclusion to your research objectives** **Correct all citations using latest APA without italics** **Provide.** **Rewrite the materials and method and make it reproducible experiment** **Methodology description is inaccurate** **Place your manuscripts into sections and subsections** **Remove redundancies in results and discussions** **Use appropriate scientific language and structure** **Discuss your data, table by table or parameter by parameter or sampling site by sampling site. Avoid mix up.**	Ok. The results are discussed based on parameter by parameter. I think the materials and methods are clear. Sampling was done twice at different times. In each of the time, three samples were collected from each site and the parameters analysed according to standard methods (APHA, 1995).
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract overall appears fine but needs the changes below Birth.....use a technical term or phrase recover.....change to reclaim remediation processes.....give 2 examples alongside.....use a power verb INTRODUCTION appears clumsy and lack conviction that neccissitated your research since Aboho et al.(2012) & Nartey et al. (2012) did similar research. Please rewrite introduction based on what is known, what is unknown and what is about to be known. three-fourth....change to 3/4 or three quarter. The 1st sentence talked about benefits and second sentence talked about unfriendliness; plz use transitionla verb to shown comparison or contrast etc. Nasty.....remove....change to.offensive etc Posits...change.....use discussed, suggested, or indicated. This is a science paper. Though Njoku 2015....sentence is too long. break it up ito two. Waste managment & disposal.....completely remove. never add a subheading in introduction. however, link the two together using previous research. Or move it to be under materials and method and subtitle it: Site description etc. MATERIALS AND METHOD....there is poor research design. plz indicate your sampling protocol? WHY did you choose those sites? plz indicate The towns/cities in your map arent eligible. plz improve or provide study site GPS coordinates. Provide GPS coordinats of maps & remove map, 2, 3, 4. Rename your map: the name was clumsy. Integrated samples were collected by.....sentence is dull...plz recast. Diamond standard integrated.....remove completely. Should be mentioned earlier under site/equipment description paragraph. Bashir et al. (2009) .....Latest APA does not italice et al. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....readability is very low. Authors need to improve. 0.963±0.65mg/l.....separate all figures/numbers from measurmet units Figure 5 should be moved to materials and method and labelled 5a, b, c,d. 4 pix is enough. You must not cluster your other graphs and tables at same place. ....Plz separates them and makes each appear on manusscript as you discuss it.	Ok. The changes suggested has been effected. Aboho et al and Nartey et al, did their work in other cities in Nigeria, not Port Harcourt. Eg Aboho did his at Ibadan and not on Leachates, but on water close to dumpsites. Other suggestions had been adhered to. The research design involved experimental area and control area. The only difference was the control samples which were either rain water or nearby surface well water in the dry season. This was done because during the dry season, rain wain water collection near dumpsites was not available. and the coordinates. The GPS Coordinates have been added and the maps (2,3 and 4) removed as suggested. All other suggestions attended to.

	moreover you can shrink the graph sizes so that two are shown at once in a box to make your work original. in tendem....plz change The materials and methods should be key findings only and a few abnormality, and summarative sentences for other parameters. This isnt a thesis. hence reduce to maximum 7 pages including graphs & tables. your graphs and tables are not properly placed and oversized. plz reduce sizes. It is worthy to note .....remove completely. The government should periodically monitor .....sentence is too long, recast into 2 sentences. Remove the word privilege literature and replace with findings. Please provide a more scientific recommendation like advising the natives or nearby neighbourhood.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	