



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Chemical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_46881
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF MICROCRYSTALLINE CELLULOSE ISOLATED FROM THE POD HUSK AND STALK OF FLUTED PUMPKIN
Type of the Article	Original research papers

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	This paper deals with the microcrystals of cellulose, it is important for the biological studies. I support it to be published after minor revision. 1, all equations must be written by equation editor with all symbols of physical quantity in italic form and with order number of the equation after the equation; 2, a space must add between number and its unit; 3, what is the unit of $\mu\text{m}$ ?	The corrections as indicated have been effected. The unit ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) is micrometer used as unit in particle sizes.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues