



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_31731
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidants and Radical Scavenging Activities of Nigeria Soybeans (Glycine max (L.))
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In abstract please provide the full name of DPPH and NO radical and then abbreviate. (Page 1 line 12). 2. Line 19 instead of complimentary antiradical assay use antioxidant assays. 3. Line 73 instead of species use the word accessions 4. Apply the statistical tests LSD or DMR test to show the significance of concentrations in Tables. 5. Line 294 use the word high as the levels of phenolics and flavonoids are in microgram. 6. Some journals names are abbreviated while others are written in full please check the journal style for writing references 7. Author has not cited other studies on antioxidant potential of soyabean in result and discussion section. 	Every comments have been well taken care of in the corrected manuscript.
Optional/General comments	The article "Antioxidants and Radical Scavenging Activities of Nigeria Soybeans (Glycine max (L.))" reports the in vitro antioxidant activities of three soyabean accessions from Nigeria. This is the preliminary study in which the antioxidant activity is evaluated by several assays. The method used in this study are adequate. However, the data is presented without statistical analysis. Overall the manuscript is well written and sound.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we follow VANCOUVER reference style for our paper

Kindly see the following link:
<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>