



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_46088
Title of the Manuscript:	Isoniazid-Induced Kidney Damage: Protective Roles of Kolaviron Extract from Garcinia Kola seed
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1-The scientific name of seed should be written in italic all over the text.</p> <p>2- The author did not mention the mechanism that by which restoring kidney function either in discussion or in the conclusion.</p>	<p>1. All scientific names are italicized.</p> <p>2. Yes, the present study did not investigate the mechanism of action of kolaviron in nephroprotection. However, further study is recommended to determine the mechanism of restoring kidney function by kolaviron.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	