SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_EJMP_46601**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Antioxidant activity, Total Phenols, Flavonoids and LCMS profile of Chamaecrista hildebrandtii (Vatke) Lock (Fabaceae-Caesalpinioideae) and Clerodendrum rotundifolium (Verbanaceae)**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	- **The results are poorly commented in the appropriated section (page 3 to 10). Results should be commented in details with focus each time on the statistical significance or not of the differences observed.** - **All results given in bars or number (in figures or tables) should be accompanied with standard deviations. They should also be followed by letters that indicate the statistical significance or not of the differences observed** - **All other comments, suggestions and corrections made in the text should be taken into consideration.**	We have taken time and acted upon all the issues raised in this section, regarding statistics and presentation of results as highlighted by the Reviewer. We hope that the corrections effected are satisfactory.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	