



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_47575
Title of the Manuscript:	Antinutritional Factors Reduction from Cassava (<i>Manihot esculenta Crantz</i>) Roots by Grating or Chipping Processing Technique in Mtwara Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract: Line 1: ' ... intake of diets high ...' Line 5: cyanide residues... 2.1 Materials Line 3: where they were 3.1 Line 17 '...be removed' Line 19: contributed greatly.	We appreciate the constructive, positive views towards the study. Corrections have been made in the text of the revised manuscript and all are highlighted with yellow colour.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	