



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_46022
Title of the Manuscript:	Pattern of condom usage among male and female youths in Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: 1- Please use complete words of HIV, NDHS ... and then use their abbreviation in text. 2- Please add the aim of the study to the end of first paragraph. 3- In the result section please add $p<0.05$ at the end of sentences where you talk about the significant factors.</p> <p>Keywords: 1- Please add "Nigeria" and "secondary data analysis". 2- Remove the list of abbreviation after the keywords. They should be used in the text.</p> <p>Introduction 1- This section is too big. Please reduce it to 1.5 pages. To do that please write 4 paragraphs including the health issue globally, health consequences, regionally, and the gap of knowledge following the literature search you found. 2- References such (6)(7)(8) should be written as 6-8. Please refer to the journal guideline.</p> <p>Methodology 1- Where you use the sentence "stratified two-stage cluster design" please add reference. 2- "The study unit of enquiry was the young men and women aged 15-24 years" please add a reference showing people till 24 are considered as young. What is your definition of young people? 3- What ethical aspects were considered before collecting data. Did the participants receive an information sheet or signed a consent form? 4- What do you mean of usage of condom among female?</p> <p>Results 1- It is better to start this section with some sentences and refer to Tables. Table should come after the text. 2- Please write $p=0.01$ instead of $p=0.00000$</p> <p>Discussion 1- Please add the study limitations to this section and end of discussion. 2- Recommendation can be merged with the conclusion or even the discussion. 3- Please follow the author guideline of the journal to correct the reference list.</p>	<p>We have made the necessary corrections We have added the objectives of the study. We have added $p<0.05$</p> <p>We have done that We have removed the list of abbreviation</p> <p>We have tried to reduce the section but unfortunately, we couldn't. Changing this section would change the whole manuscript and the references</p> <p>We have changed the references throughout the article</p> <p>We have added the reference. We have given the meaning of youth.</p> <p>We didn't carry out the study. DHS actually carried out the survey. For this study, ethical approval was however collected from NDHS to use the original data for the survey. The willingness of female youth to ensure condom usage by their partner during intercourse.</p> <p>We have corrected</p> <p>Recommendation has been merged with the conclusion.</p>
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	NO