



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_46976
Title of the Manuscript:	Congenital Dyserythropoietic Anaemia Type II: A Rare Blood Disorder in a Nigerian Child
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I agree with authors' diagnosis of CDA type 2, though genetic testing should have been done.</p> <p>The paper is well written and literature review is adequate. Reports of CDA from the African Continent are infrequent compared to those from Europe and Asia. This may most likely be due to under recognition of this anemia rather than true rarity of this condition in Africa. In this regard, publication of this type of case report may be helpful in dissemination of knowledge.</p> <p>Some minor points</p> <p>Line 46, anemia ranges from "none" to severe (Russo, et al). also the same line, What does "they" refer to? Patients?</p> <p>Line 86, parents, consanguineous marriage?</p> <p>Line 126, misspelling, myelopoiesis</p> <p>Fig. 1 does not have a legend</p>	<p>Typographical errors have been corrected and highlighted in YELLOW in the revised manuscript.</p> <p>Line 46, anemia ranges from "none" to severe (Russo, et al). – corrected- anaemia ranges from none to severe</p> <p>Line 46- What does "they" refer to? Patients?- Corrected to "Patients with CDA II"</p> <p>Line 86, parents, consanguineous marriage? – Included- "neither was there any history of parents with consanguineous marriage,"</p> <p>Line 126, misspelling, Myelopoiesis – Corrected Myelopoiesis (now on line 127)</p> <p>Fig. 1 does not have a legend - Legend included for Fig.1</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	