



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_47224
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Protein C and Protein S in Pregnancy loss victims
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Elaboration of statistical analysis is suggested. Comparison of mean between two groups is done by which statistical test? p value must be expressed as <0.001 not as 0.00.	Statistical analysis was done using SPSS windows version 20 (IBM Corporation, 2011). Data was grouped into pregnancy-loss, normal pregnancy and non-pregnant control subjects. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine normality. Parametric data were expressed as +/- SD. Parameters were skewed. Hence, they were expressed as median, 2.5 th (p2.5) and 97.5 th (p97.5) percentile, and were log-transformed prior to analysis. ANOVA and Games-Howell post hoc procedures were used to test for group differences. Pearson's correlation was used to determine relationship between parameters. The predictive analysis of parameters on pregnancy loss was explored via binary logistic regression model. Data was considered significant at $P < .05$
Minor REVISION comments	Please modify the language and grammatical mistakes in introduction and discussion section. Clarify the methodology section with the range for Protein C and Protein S.	The grammatical error in the introduction and discussion has been rectified. The methodology of the Protein C and S has also been rectified.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	