



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_34900
Title of the Manuscript:	Minerals, vitamins and Amino acids compositions of Melanthera biflora
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is interesting and worth attention, however methodology is ragged, the study is interesting, not revelatory; Manuscript should be corrected and re-edited; results – complemented; Introduction: just sufficient; Methodology: this section <u>definitely</u> must be corrected – now is insufficient and incorrect ; several additional information should be complemented: clear procedures must be given (especially, when references given in this section are not listed in References), information: what is the degree of purity of the chemicals used in study? were the blank samples prepared along with all the other samples and used for correction of measured signals? must be complemented; Results: relatively limited experimental data are available; the <u>huge</u> disadvantage of this report is lack of verification of the experimental results precision and accuracy – validation of the results and methodology must be performed and results quality should be proved; Discussion: inaccurate; discussion must be improved - comparison of the results with the data available in the literature should be added, results of relevant international studies are not mentioned; information: how many experiments were performed in replicates should be included? please provide the number of experiments;</p>	Noted.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>a separate statistical methods section is needed, also the analysis of statistical significance of the results should be investigated;</p> <p>References are inadequate and not based on relevant literature; References section should be corrected and re-edited</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>many editorial mistakes must be corrected, as well as grammar or language errors; the English <u>must</u> be improved</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Manuscript needs rewriting; additional experiments should be performed, in the present form article cannot be published</p>	