



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_36327
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of levels of nutrients in selected ALVs at different harvesting stages and locations of production in western Kenya
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> First and most important thing is that the paper is too lengthy to be called a research paper. It is like a project has been written or a mini dissertation. Try to concise the paper as much as possible. The paper as whole is not presentable. Efforts should be made to make the paper more presentable. Introduction is way too lengthy. Its main focus should be on the importance of your work. The tables are way too many. Author should try to modify the title if possible to make it more attractive. 	Noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Material and methods are not written as it should have been. Modify the material and methods part. The results and discussion part is written haphazardly and it does not present the results in a nice manner. Modify the results and discussion part and make some subheadings so that it should become easy for the reader to understand your work. 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I don't find any conclusion as to what you have obtained from your research work. Try to make your paper more presentable. There is no formatting. The line spacing is not even also. Try to work on that. 	