



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_45253
Title of the Manuscript:	DETECTION OF Chlamydia trachomatis IN DONATED BLOOD AT REGIONAL BLOOD TRANSFUSION CENTRE, ELDORET, KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In line 33, a study estimated. Please write the citation. In line 132, a study in Makkah, Saudi Arabia. Please write the citation. Line 34, WHO. Which year and publication? Please write the citation.	In line 132, a study in Makkah, Saudi Arabia. Please write the citation.-This was already cited Line 33 and 34 corrected
Minor REVISION comments	Lines 26-27, STDs including urogenital and chlamydial. Please revisit this statement. Line 33, among is donated blood. It should be written as, among which is donated blood. Line 36, about 47% donations. It should be about 47% of blood donations. Line 135, these study. It should be this study/these studies. <u>In this case, this study.</u> Line 142, to. It should be taken off. Line 147, conquers. It should be concurs.	Could not find Line 142, to ALL have been corrected. Thank you for the observations. Thank you for all the comments and observations made.
Optional/General comments	Line 35, routinely test. It should be routinely screen.	Corrected.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	