



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_45253
Title of the Manuscript:	DETECTION OF Chlamydia trachomatis IN DONATED BLOOD AT REGIONAL BLOOD TRANSFUSION CENTRE, ELDORET, KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	In line 33, a study estimated. Please write the citation. In line 132, a study in Makkah, Saudi Arabia. Please write the citation. Line 34, WHO. Which year and publication? Please write the citation.	In line 132, a study in Makkah, Saudi Arabia. Please write the citation.-This was already cited Line 33 and 34 corrected
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Lines 26-27, STDs including urogenital and chlamydial. Please revisit this statement. Line 33, among is donated blood. It should be written as, among which is donated blood. Line 36, about 47% donations. It should be about 47% of blood donations. Line 135, these study. It should be this study/these studies. <u>In this case, this study.</u> Line 142, to. It should be taken off. Line 147, conquers. It should be concurs.	Could not find Line 142, to ALL have been corrected. Thank you for the observations.  <b>Thank you for all the comments and observations made.</b>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Line 35, routinely test. It should be routinely screen.	Corrected.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	