



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_45656
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIMICROBIAL AND ANTIHELMINTIC EFFECT OF WONDERFUL KOLA (Buchholzia coricea)
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Because the methods used for phytochemical analysis were not yours, citation is necessary.</li> <li>2. From the described methods, you did not mention how to determine the amount of the phytochemicals so I was wondering how the results in figure 1 came?</li> <li>3. For antimicrobial susceptibility test, why did you not show the results of positive control drugs; ofloxacin and fluconazole in figure 2?</li> <li>4. For antihelminthic activities, why did you multiply the detected survivor eggs with 100? By doing so, the results in table 2 were <u>2 eggs at 0 hour in all dilutions</u> (Was it possible?) and in positive control there was <u>one egg survived</u> at 24 hours. These results might be exaggerate and meaningless.</li> </ol>	<p>Corrected as per the comments</p> <p>We have tried to modify the manuscript based on the comments</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	