SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJBCRR_47021**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EVALUTION OF ANTI-DIABETIC POTENTIAL OF AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF LUFFA CYLINDRICA (NATIVE SPONGE/SPONGE GOURD) LEAF AND SEED ON ALLOXAN INDUCED DIABETIC WISTAR RATS.**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:
This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Line3-intalize botanical names.It should be written like this "***Luffa cylindrica* " Please arrange your abstract under the following headings; Aim: Methodology: Results: Conclusion: In your abstract, it is Na^+ not na+ and $HC0_3^-$ not hco3- Line 63-please state the plant voucher number. Line 76-Albino or Wistar rats not Albino Wistar rats. Line 77- write like this;The experiment was carried out by the …….. **Line 88-tabulate your groupings.** **Line 131-ALT not Alt, correct the others.** **Line 132-Mean±SD not sd.** **Please reference according to journal guidelines.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Kindly see the following link:
http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20