



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_47038
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and characterization of bergapten from the root bark of Ficus exasperata (Vahl)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1.All areas with yellow highlight should be corrected 2.Your abstract's wordings is grossly inadequate . So should conform to publication standard with clearly written objectives, methods, result, conclusions, key words etc 3.Your internal references should be well and appropriate bracketed and not superscripted and your references should conform to that of the publishers. 4.Please could it be the is no discussion in this work. 5.The work is not detail. 6.In fact the author or authors should re-visit the author's guide for publishers to conform to right standard . 7.Write the chemicals names in full and appropriately 8.In line 52 and 54 reconstruct the statement using a more appropriate scientific language. 9.In 69 and 70 rectify the issue of the number of animal grouping 10. Line 83 complete the reference	Corrected and highlighted Abstract has been Reference revised Corrected as suggested Corrected
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>