



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_47439
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Galantamine, Phenolics, Flavonoids and Antioxidant Content of Galanthus Species in Turkey
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript was well-structured but some points should be ameliorated:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A chromatogram from the standard compounds (galantamine and phenolic acids) and samples evaluated from HPLC should be presented in the manuscript. - The calibration curve/linearity range of galantamine and phenolic acids should be presented. Which was the limit of quantification and detection of these compounds? - The units of concentrations of the phenolic acids evaluated from the HPLC analysis should be in the same units along the manuscript, please uniformize. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A chromatogram from the standard compounds (galantamine and phenolic acids) and samples evaluated from HPLC were presented in the manuscript. - The calibration curve/linearity range of galantamine and phenolic acids were presented. - The units of concentrations of the phenolic acids evaluated from the HPLC analysis is in the same units along the manuscript, it was uniformized.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In section 2.8 should be separated instead of seperated In section 3.1 should aerial instead of erial In section 3.2 should be Shimadzu instead of Shumadzu Please revise the English language along the manuscript</p>	<p>'Separated' was corrected as separated In section 2.8 'erial' was corrected as aerial In section 3.1 'Shumadzu' was corrected as Shimadzu In section 3.2 English language was revised along the manuscript</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	