



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_47439
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Galantamine, Phenolics, Flavonoids and Antioxidant Content of Galanthus Species in Turkey
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Italicize all the botanical names.</p> <p>Be more specific in the words highlighted in red in the abstract. The result shows that some are above the about figure in %.</p> <p>Correct the DPPH spelling. I have done some in the manuscript itself.</p> <p>The results presentations are satisfactory but the discussion of the results outcome is lacking. The discussion has to be included to improve the articles' impact. This is vital.</p> <p>The conclusion is vague. It is poorly written.</p> <p>In the references maintain a consistent style particularly with the name of journals.</p>	<p>All botanical names were italicized.</p> <p>Results, Corrected percent results.</p> <p>Corrected writing DPPH.</p> <p>The discussion were added to the results</p> <p>The names of the journals in the sources were written in a consistent style.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	