



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_47557
Title of the Manuscript:	Association of T-786C and 27 bp (4b/4a) polymorphism of endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene with obesity in Egypt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is an interesting study investigating the relationship between eNOS and obesity. There are many grammar and typing errors (for example mean standers), Some sentences do not make sense. The introduction seems to be incomplete. The main issue of the present study is that, there is no mention about Ethics consideration. Did the study was evaluated by an Ethics Committee? Did participants have signed consent form? There is no mention regarding funding source	First of all I appreciate all your comments and I would like to thank you very much for your valuable comments that help to improve the papers a lot and I hope manuscript gets your admiration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no Ethics problems, Participation was voluntary and was acquired from each participant prior to sample collection. Confidentiality of all participants was maintained and Samples were collected under authority of in Specialized Medical Hospital Mansoura University, Egypt Funding source: All the study carried out under supervision of Specialized Medical Hospital Mansoura University
Minor REVISION comments	The first paragraph in the discussion section is the same in the introduction section I suggest to the authors to do Odd Ration test	Introduction and discussion were totally reformed
Optional/General comments	Before re-submitting the paper, I recommend to the authors to send the paper to an English native speaker and get his comments.	DONE

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> <u>There is no mention about Ethics consideration. Did the study was evaluated by an Ethics Committee?</u> <u>Did participants have signed consent form?</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no Ethics problems, yes. Participation was voluntary and was acquired from each participant prior to sample collection. Confidentiality of all participants was maintained and Samples were collected under authority of in Specialized Medical Hospital Mansoura University, Egypt