



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_49343
Title of the Manuscript:	Ameliorative activity of pumpkin (Cucurbita Maxima) fruit and seeds powders on diabetic, oxidative and pancreatic status in rats
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Ms IJBCRR 49343 can be accepted with some minor revision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The authors generally describe the antioxidant effects of the mix of components extracted from the pumpkin, without indicating which one is more active. - How was the concentration of STZ used in the study identified? - Same consideration for the doses of pumpkin, seeds and mix of the two, administered daily. - The anti-oxidant factors have been assessed following protocols that have been superseded and with a high possibility of errors in the evaluation. The use of Kit Eilsa dedicated to each of the enzymes investigated in the study would make the investigation more precise. - The data derived from the microscopic investigation have been uniquely reported in the table without the actual provca of the execution of the test. _ The text is to be checked for the presence of numerous typing errors. 	<p>Thank you</p> <p>All the modifications suggested by the reviewers have been done</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	