



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_48646
Title of the Manuscript:	Undergraduate students willingness to pay for social services of trees at the Federal University of Agriculture Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/sdi-general-editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Why was random sampling techniques used to determine the sample size in this research. This is an academic environment and the population of the students should be known. I am suggesting that the Taro Yamane formula. $n = \frac{N}{1+N(e)^2}$ can be used to determine the sample size	- The simple random sampling was used to select the students in order to give the student equal chance of been selected and also to avoid bias. The Taro Yamane formula is a valid formula to be used in getting sample size from known population but the study has already been conducted
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In a research of this nature a photograph of these trees in the study would make more scientific. As it is the research is too perceptic in Nature. So the author should include photograph of these trees in each faculty.	- Noted
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author should stick to one method of referencing. The most recent method is the used of numbers. But i can see the author combining name and numbers	- The use of numbers only for referencing in the text is reverted to.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	