



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_47552
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical compositions and Antimicrobial activities of <i>Citrus sinensis</i> and <i>Citrus aurantifolia</i> peel on Selected Pathogenic Bacteria isolated from Jollof Rice
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Did Parle and Chaturvedi, 2012, perform all the reported medicinal properties of this plant. Citing individual studies on such plant is always better. Kindly do. This reference is highlighted in red in the introduction.</p> <p>The introduction and discussion are poorly referenced.</p> <p>How was these samples identified to which species they were.?</p> <p>What are the ingredients used in cooking this jollof rice, they could be contributing factors to isolated microbes? This is needed for reproducibility of the study.</p> <p>Remove the words highlighted in red in the results for table 2. It is rather a repetition of the result.</p> <p>Subject the results in tables 2, 3 and 4 to a test of significant at P=.05 using student t-test. It will strengthen the outcome of this research.</p> <p>The referencing style is not in accordance with SDI Authors' guideline. Check it guideline.</p>	<p>All corrections apart from the ones made by the reviewers are coated in yellow colours</p> <p>All corrections and suggestion made by the reviewers are noted and effected to the best of our knowledge.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Attend to above raised issues.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	