



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_42340
Title of the Manuscript:	REFLECTIONS ON EFFORTS GEARED TOWARD IMPROVED SOIL FERTILITY AND CROP YIELDS IN KENYA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Author(s) did not follow at all the journal's referencing and citation style For example in Introduction section, the first citation was [1], which is correct. The second citation was [27] which is very wrong. Author(s) should work on their article to make sure all citations and references are in line with the journal referencing style.</p> <p>Other typographical errors and punctuations are also present in the text and need to be worked out to improve the quality of the article</p> <p>You can check for specific error as marked in the main PDF file of the manuscript</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>For clarity we re-worked on the abstract and added the methodology and introduced the results and discussions title. The changes in the manuscript have been highlighted in yellow.</p> <p>We have also organized the reference section as per the journal requirements.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>