



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_46223
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF GRADED LEVELS OF MAJOR NUTRIENTS AND BIOFERTILIZERS ON YIELD, CONTENT AND UPTAKE OF SAFFLOWER IN VERTISOL.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	10: 00 kg ha ⁻¹ ? Check the recommended dose of Safflower properly In reference, it is written in numbered format but not actually maintain it. Check it	Thank you for your comments. We have modified the manuscript as per your valuable suggestion.
Minor REVISION comments	10, 91. use proper punctuation; 25. use proper spacing and punctuations after sentences 65. Scientific names should always be in <i>italics</i> 131, 142, 176, 181. <i>et al.</i> Is written in <i>italics</i> here but not in elsewhere. Check and maintain uniformity 152, 159. spacing not well maintained 164, 165, 167. check the sentence formation Reference: 195. Give full information 212. check the words properly 233. Scientific name? 227-240. Spacing not well maintained	
Optional/General comments	*Use superscripts wherever necessary *Check the use of subscripts and maintain uniformity wherever necessary	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	