



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_46595
Title of the Manuscript:	Occurrence of Anthracnose Disease of Turkey Berry ( <i>Solanum torvum</i> ) at Bunso, Eastern Region, Ghana.
Type of the Article	Original research paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript "Occurrence of Anthracnose Disease of Turkey Berry ( <i>Solanum torvum</i> ) at Bunso, Eastern Region, Ghana." describes The occurrence of anthracnose disease caused by <i>Colletotrichum acutatum</i> on <i>Solanum torvum</i> in Ghana. Ascertain the cross infection potential of the pathogen on other <i>Solanaceous</i> crops was assessed. The study is interesting and the experimental studies are mostly carried out professionally. The article satisfies the criteria of the journal. Following smaller revisions are suggested to be made to improve the manuscript before publication.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. I recommend the authors to revise the English. The manuscript is generally well written and it is easy to follow but verbal times are, for example, inaccurate at several paragraphs.</p> <p>3: Abstract, line 13. Using the colony, morphology and conidial characteristics with reference to laboratory manuals to identify the pathogen may be not sufficient. Please provide more data to confirm the identification (Molecular identification is needed).</p> <p>3: Abstract, line 19. Change " <i>Colletotrichum acutatum</i> " to " <i>Colletotrichum acutatum</i> ".</p> <p>4: MATERIALS AND METHODS, line 61. Please provide hypochlorite sodium instead of Chlorox.</p> <p>5: MATERIALS AND METHODS, line 67. Using the colony, morphology and conidial characteristics with reference to laboratory manuals to identify the pathogen may be not sufficient. Please provide more data to confirm the identification (Molecular identification is needed).</p> <p>6: MATERIALS AND METHODS, line 72. How can prepare conidial inocula? Please provide more data.</p> <p>7: MATERIALS AND METHODS, line 78. Change "Fruits that showed symptoms similar to those observed in the field, re-isolation of the fungus was made in accordance with Koch's postulates" to " To fulfilling Koch's postulates, re-isolation of the fungus was made from fruits that showed symptoms similar to those observed in the field.</p> <p>8: MATERIALS AND METHODS, line 82. Please provide more data on the cultivar used.</p> <p>9: Results, line 95. Change " Symptoms of the anthracnose disease of <i>Solanum torvum</i>" to "Symptomatology"</p> <p>10: Results, line 95. Change "Infected fruits showed" to "Infected Turkey Berry fruits showed".</p> <p>11: Results, line 105. Change " Isolation and identification of pathogen" to "The causal pathogen".</p>	<p>Recommendations have been effected in the revised manuscript.</p> <p>However, the molecular identification recommended could not be done due to lack of facility and funding, so detailed morphocultural characteristics have been added for better pathogen description.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	