



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_46595
Title of the Manuscript:	Occurrence of Anthracnose Disease of Turkey Berry ( <i>Solanum torvum</i> ) at Bunso, Eastern Region, Ghana.
Type of the Article	Original research paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	It lacks information concerning how they have made the identification of <i>Colletotrichum acutatum</i> . No reference isolate is used, no growth rate, or conidia size, color or shape is discussed. In my opinion it should include molecular analysis/sequencing of ITS or B-Tub gene regions. The <i>Colletotrichum acutatum</i> complex is a "bag" of species that cannot be only identified based on morphocultural and pathogenicity tests. None of the informations provided confirm that <i>C. acutatum</i> is the causal agent of this disease it can be other <i>Colletotrichum</i> spp. The study is important but lacks profound scientific basis. It can be easily improved.	Conidia size, shape and colony colour are described in the revised manuscript.  However, the molecular identification recommended could not be done due to lack of facilities and funding, so detailed morphocultural characteristics have been added for better pathogen description. The molecular aspect will be studied when funding is secured.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	