



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_47764
Title of the Manuscript:	Millet for food and nutritional security in drought prone and red laterite region of eastern India
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Check conceptualize in your abstract line 20</p> <p>Check Introduction 'The tribal population in the state comprises 23.01% and 5.49% respectively of the region's total population' in lines 56&57 The sentence sounds off. Can you rephrase?</p> <p>Check line 81 'Millets comprises' Shouldn't it be comprise without a 's'?</p>	<p>Correction has been made accordingly.</p> <p>Corrected as suggested.</p> <p>Corrected as suggested.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Check Research Strategy line 12 'hot and humid rainy season' Please confirm that this is Scientifically correct.</p> <p>Check lines 34-36. 'Establishment of such cottage level small scale millet processing industries in this region not only improve the farm income but also improve the food and nutritional security.'</p> <p>Improve should be changed to improved throughout the sentence. The Research has been completed now you are reporting. Please take note.</p>	<p>We agreed with the hon'ble reviewer. Corrected as suggested.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>Grammatical errors were observed. However, the Researchers did a great job. Well-done.</p>	<p>Thanks for the comments. We have thoroughly checked the entire manuscript again for any grammatical/typographical errors.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	