



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_48449
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of GA ₃ , spacing and nutrient sprays on growth and flowering in snapdragon (<i>Antirrhinum majus</i> L.) cv. Rocket Pink
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is accepted with minor changes. It has good statistical support and the parameters evaluated are adequate.</p> <p>Title: Is ok</p> <p>Abstract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is repetitive and large >300 words. 2. Add information about conclusion. <p>Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To write the objective of this study. 2. Little corrections were done in the manuscript. <p>Materials and methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To describe the main agronomic characteristics of Rocket Pink snapdragon varieties. 2. To explain the chemical content of CalMax product. 3. Little corrections were done in the manuscript. <p>Results and Discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Write together results and discussion because is repetitive a lot of information. 2. Some corrections were done in the manuscript. 3. In general results and discussion are good with proper evidences. 4. Tables are clear and explicative. 5. Some corrections were done in the manuscript. <p>References</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. They are complete 2. Write the references in accordance with the rules of this Journal. The corrections were done in the manuscript. Are missing data in some reference. Please check. 	Thank you for your comments. The manuscript has been corrected according to your valuable comments
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	