



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_49499
Title of the Manuscript:	Initial Development of Clonal Seedlings of <i>Coffea canephora</i> Submitted to Different Irrigation Detph
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript "Initial Development of Clonal Seedlings of <i>Coffea canephora</i> Submitted to Different Irrigation Depth" is a regular paper reporting the results of original research, which fits the themes of International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. The article is structured according to the standards. The abstract is concise and informative. "Introduction" states the objectives providing an adequate background. In the "Material and methods" section, however, there are some methodological flaws and uncertainties, which hinder the obtaining of proper experimental results.	Items listed have been adjusted
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The writing style and English need improvement.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Title: correct the word Detph (Depth); Throughout the text: change the word slides by depths or levels.	Suggestions met

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	without changes