SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJR2H_46048**
Title of the Manuscript:	**An Open Label, Multi-centric Study to Assess the Safety and Efficacy of Sodium Feredetate (Fedate syrup) within 21 days in Patients with Iron Deficiency Anemia**
New Title of the Manuscript:	**An Open Label, Single Arm Multi-Centric Study to Assess the Safety and Efficacy of Sodium Feredetate (Fedate syrup) within 21 days in Patients with Iron Deficiency Anemia in Ivory coast**
Type of Article:	**Original Research Article**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
- Standardize the line space (1.5) especially within the abstract and References	**Done**
- **Table 3:** Some words are written in French instead of English language. So, modify them	**Done. Changed the French word and highlighted**
- **Figure 4** is not numbered within the manuscript, please add its number	**Done Added**
- **Figure 3** is useless. It would be suitable to transform it into text as there is no much information (just two figures!)	**Done, Deleted**
- Add an abbreviation section at the end of the manuscript	**Added Abbreviation**
- Present bibliographic references as per journal's guidelines (for instance references 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 are not presented properly)	**Done**
- Add an "Acknowledgment section" within the manuscript for thanking patients and any other people or institutions having facilitated the realization of the present study	**Done** Added Acknowledgment section
- The first paragraph of Discussion is useless and looks like introduction. So, just outlined the objectives of the study again, before to really starting discussion	**Done,** changes with best possible manner.
- Paragraphs 2 and 3 of the discussion are beyond the scope related to discussing your findings. Discussion is not spent time to do literature review without link with your results. These paragraphs slightly sound non-sense to me as there is no logical link with your results. Present your findings and then discuss them accordingly using consistent literature.	