SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJR2H_46529**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Knowledge And Attitude Towards Premarital Sickle Cell Disease Screening Among Students Attending Federal College of Education, Kano, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. The methodology is grossly inadequate. See track changes. Please describe the dependent variables in the study (Knowledge and attitudes)** **2. Describe how the sample size of 305 was reached** **3. Despite the fact that, authors state in the abstract of some variables being significant (p-value <0.05) in the abstract. There is no indication of that in the results section of main text** **4. See more comments in the track changes file**	The methodology was enriched to capture the description of the variable in the revised version of the manuscript. The determination of sample size was included in the revised manuscript. The corrections were effected as suggested
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	