



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_48212
Title of the Manuscript:	Implication of Sugar in Haemorrhoid and Menstruation
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Topic of Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The authors have considered to discuss the relation between sugar intake and haemorrhoids and menstrual disorders - These two topics are extremely and are not associated with each other at any facet, neither aetiologically, pathologically or clinically, therefore it doesn't appear apt to discuss two diverse topic under a single heading <p>Title of the Paper</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - As sugar intake is discussed, it would be apt to mention the same, Implication of Sugar Intake in..... 	<p>We are not considering the relationship between haemorrhoids and menstruation but how sugar affects each of them.</p> <p>This has been effected.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Subheading 4.2 – full form of PPAR (Please write the full form when used for the first time in the text)	This has been effected.
Optional/General comments	<p>Figures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please mention the source if the figures have been reproduced from elsewhere, some sources also require that a written permission be obtained from them before using such figures - Please avoid mentioning the same information gain and again 	Each figure has been well referenced.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	None