



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_48080
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICES (KAP) REGARDING FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES AMONG MARRIED WOMEN OF QUETTA PAKISTAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p><u>Line 18</u>: majority of them were 41.2% were uneducated, house wife (79.1%),</p> <p>First of all, majority term describes more than 75 percent, so replace all the majority term in your paper (I highlighted some of them with red colour) if used to describe below this percentage.</p> <p>Also the term "most" describe more than 90 percent, review <u>line 24</u>: . 430 (85.5%) women were practicing and <u>line 140</u> : Most of the respondents 252 (50.1%) You can use half , nearly half, two third, one third, aroundetc</p> <p>Second, if you want to describe the percentage write the percent after description as (the majority (78%) ofwas/were</p> <p>Third , Were word is repeated</p> <p><u>Line 22</u>: 497(98.8%) believed that use <u>and line 24 also</u> : . 430 (85.5%) women were practicing</p> <p>beginning sentence with number is not acceptable</p> <p><u>line 310 and line 30 in abstract</u>: Husband being the dominant member plays the pivotal role in approving the family size and contraceptive practices</p> <p>there is no information in your result related this conclusion , we cannot conclude idea without investigation during research</p> <p><u>related to correlation interpretation as in abstract and table interpretation and data analysis section</u> the interpretation describe as weak, moderate, strong instead of small, median, and large</p> <p>All the paper need editing(highly recommended)</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Done to all</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>This is Pakistan society concern which we discussed that apart form services and methods, it is husband who will approve or deny.</p> <p>Correlation is there in abstract and main analysis</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 37: KAP write the complete words not abbreviation in the keywords section Plz review formatting criteria over all the paper that I highlighted with yellow</p> <p><u>Line 103</u>: forwardbackward-forward translating method</p>	<p>Done</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>It has to be forward-backward translating method</p> <p>Line 81: 2.1 Study Design, Setting and Duration</p> <p>Remove word duration, the cut the information related duration from line 86 to line 89 and put it in procedure section without using duration as a title.</p> <p>In Table 1: Demographic characteristics of respondents (education section) Begin with uneducated before primary</p> <p>In table 7: you have to mark the p level at <0.05*</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Its already written in<0.05*</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	