



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_46626
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacteriological quality and antibiotic residues in raw cow milk at producer level and milk products at sale points in the Northern region of Ghana.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The test details should be written such as the test for which group antibiotics, active ingredient, limit of detection on milk, reliability, selectivity on material and methods section.	The details of the charm Blue-Yellow antibiotic test has been included in the methods section and selectivity and reliability has been stated.
Optional/General comments	Also positive samples should be absolutely corrected by using instrumental analysis such as HPLC and LCMSMS.	The scope of the study did not allow for use of HPCL and LC MS MS. The aim was to determine the presence of antibiotic residues above acceptable limits (EU) and not the individual antibiotic or the specific quantity. However, in our next study we will take that into consideration this fact and use instrument analysis.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	