



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_46949
Title of the Manuscript:	Plasmodium falciparum Sporozoite Rates in Anopheles gambiae s.l. at a University Teaching Hospital and Contiguous Village, Rivers State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original research article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Change the marked one.	1- Line 18: <b>the most appropriate preposition</b> in this sentence is <b>AT</b> and <b>not IN</b> as marked by reviewer MUR
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Check the grammatical errors.	2- Line 37: the word is a possessive adjective THEIR not a pronoun THERE as corrected by reviewer MUR
	Correct the marked one	3- Line 41: <b>the most appropriate preposition</b> in this sentence is <b>AT</b> and <b>not IN</b> as marked by reviewer MUR
<b>Optional/ General</b> comments	The language syntax error can be included	4- Line 46: <b>"concomitant increase in malaria cases"</b> is an adverbial phrase, not quantifiable, thus cannot have the definite article <b>"a"</b> before the adverb as marked by reviewer MUR
		5- Line 58 Below the construction is a sewage disposal system that makes the underground marshy. Hence <b>ON</b> is appropriate.
		6- Line 68: <b>"undersides of beds"</b> is appropriate; there is no need for any article as marked by reviewer MUR
		7- Line 76: feeding mosquitoes cannot be stabilized for dissection as marked by reviewer MUR. The appropriate gonotrophic stage is FED mosquitoes caught and fixed for dissection (Detinova, 1962; Service, 2012, etc). Feeding and dissection processes cannot go on simultaneously in real life it may be possible in simulation, I am not aware yet.
		8- Fed and half gravid cannot be singular since the conjunction adds up their number to more than a category so their verb <b>MUST</b> be plural.
		9- Line 82. How else was the heading "results" supposed to be written? In lower case?
		10- Line 88: the gonotrophic stage is GRAVID= EXPECTING PROGENY and not gravid as corrected by reviewer MUR
		11- Line 92: the appropriate preposition is <b>IN</b> the range not <b>AT</b> the range as corrected by reviewer MUR
		12- Line 117: the subject-verb agreement remains singular or plural not combined singular for one and plural for the other. As such "Reasons ... are ..." is correct. There is no such grammatical construction as <b>"the reason... are..."</b> .
		13- Line 122 "Africa" has been corrected to <b>"AFRICAN"</b> countries. <b>Thank you!</b>
		14- Line 144. Although the absence of the indefinite article does not harm, it may be included if you please.
		15- Line 151: there is no comparison between 2 variables here thus <b>"HIGH proportions"</b> is more



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

		<p>appropriate than Higher proportions as corrected by reviewer MUR.</p> <p>16- Line 163: "The" before retention is odd hence the phrase may "and 93% retained"</p> <p>17- Line 165: Outdoor is in lower case as it does not begin the sentence; the period after s.l. does not end the sentence.</p> <p>18- Line 170: "prevention of vector borne diseases is advisable"; as the subject of the verb is PREVENTION, its verb cannot be in plural as indicated by reviewer MUR</p>
--	--	--

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	