



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_47973
Title of the Manuscript:	AGE RELATED PATTERN OF AWARENESS AND BASIC KNOWLEDGE ON ZIKA VIRUS DISEASE AMONG WOMEN VISITING CHILDREN IMMUNIZATION UNIT IN ENUGU STATE UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL, SOUTHEAST NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>The section 2.7 would be better in Discussion section</b></li> <li>- I think that section 3.4 section 3.4 is too long and is not very useful as the results are shown in the following tables</li> <li>- Here it is sufficient to report the main results, without going into too much detail.</li> <li>- <b>Results:</b> it would be significant to compare the answers and the degree of education of the respondents</li> </ul> <p><b>The manuscript could be accepted for publication; I think that quality of the paper would be better if text will be I believe that the quality of the work will be better if the text shortened and the comparison between the level of education and the type of answers introduced</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Ethical Considerations are usually written towards the end of the section on MATERIALS AND METHODS. That is what is in the format for my University and that is what we teach medical students. It is very appropriate where it is currently placed.</li> <li>- Responses to 15 Zika virus Basic knowledge questions were sought under section 3.4 as shown by the table. Thus, reporting the results as it relates to 7 age groupings is bound to longer than the other sections. Though tables, charts, or graphs display results; they are conventionally reported in prose form.</li> <li>- The answers were not compared with the degree of education of the respondents because the study was on the pattern of awareness as it relates to the different age groups. I have already published a paper on this that specifically compared the different education levels of respondents</li> </ul>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Interesting paper, well written	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	