



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_49755
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimating malaria parasite densities by different formulas in Thailand
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is quite interesting and seems novel. The authors should check the Ms copy for comments and suggestions.	Thank you very much for your kind suggestion. We do agree with all comments received from reviewer. All changes and acceptances have been shown as the revised version of manuscript (MS file: Rev_IJTDH_49755_Vic_A_Polrat).
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Very good work done by the authors. Keep it up.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, the study was approved by Ethics Committee, Mahidol University, Thailand.	We have declared Ethical Clearance in the section of Material and Methods of revised version of manuscript, page 3, line 93-96 Regards, Polrat Wilairatana, M.D., Ph.D. On behalf of the authors