



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_49755
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimating malaria parasite densities by different formulas in Thailand
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Author omitted the various methods of detecting Malaria parasites in the Abstract. 2. Rewrite your Result and place the Tables of the Result after a brief explanation of the Result. 3. Arrange your work in a logical order as follows: Abstract, Introduction, Method, Results, Discussion, Conclusion and References . 	<p>Thank you very much for your kind suggestions. We do agree with all comments received from reviewer.</p> <p>All changes and acceptances have been shown as the revised version of manuscript (MS file: Rev_IJTDH_49755_Vic_A_Polrat).</p> <p>Below are our responses to the comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Some methods for malaria diagnosis (e.g., QBC technique, Rapid diagnosis tests (RDTs), Serological tests or Molecular diagnosis methods such as PCR technique) have been illustrated in the section of introduction of abstract, page 1, line 5-7. 2. We have revised and presented the results concisely in logical sequence in the text and table. We have also sequenced number tables in the order in which they are cited in the text, and be sure for citing all tables in the text. 3. We have rearranged our work in a logical order follow reviewer's comment.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	We have declared Ethical Clearance in the section of Material and Methods of revised version of manuscript, page 3, line 93-96 Regards, Polrat Wilairatana, M.D., Ph.D. On behalf of the authors