



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_47100
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Malignant Melanoma of brainstem medulla mimicking as cavernoma – Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Kindly elaborate the differential diagnoses of the lesion based on histopathology. 2) Is any immunohistochemical marker was used? 3) Few citations are too old to be considered, recently Bajpai M et al have reported a case of malignant melanoma, kindly add the article in citations for your convenience the citation of that particular article is given below (in red) Bajpai M, Pardhe N, Chandolia B. Malignant melanoma of oral cavity. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2017;29(1):183 4) The histopathological features described in the manuscript are not correspondent to malignant melanoma, kindly write in detail about histopathology. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Detailed histopathologic features and differential based on histopathology is added in the case report 2) Immunohistochemical marker used are added to the case report 3) Some of the references that are too old are removed new references supporting those statements are provided. 4) I have provided the mentioned cited article in email. 5) Detailed histopathologic features are added to case report
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues in this case report Exemption for ethical approval regarding this case report has been obtained from ethical review committee of Aga Khan university hospital I have Attached the ERC exemption letter with the email