



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_46459
Title of the Manuscript:	EPIDEMIOLOGICAL PROFILE AND HISTOPATHOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF NEPHROBLASTOMA IN CAMEROON
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title not upto mark the manuscript 2. In introduction part what is the mean of 0.8 3. Mention ethical approval protocol number with exclusion criteria of study selection 4. Need to corrected the English grammar 5. Mention quoted references year 6. Used journal guidelines for references writing 	<p>Title corrected Corrected Ethical approval mentioned</p> <p>Grammar corrected Reference corrected</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Used some more key words 2. Used abbreviation list 3. Angwafo III et al mention the year 4. Need to improve your manuscript little more 	<p>Correction made Corrected</p> <p>Mentioned Noted</p>
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Image should be more sharp .. use appropriate image processing software 2. Check the language spelling mistake 	<p>Improved</p> <p>Corrected</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	