



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_47345
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATE THE ADC VALUES IN PROBABLY BENIGN AND SUSPICIOUS MALIGNANT BREAST LESIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In abstract section: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> we should start with background about the disease in result section: the first paragraph was written incorrectly (.....findings reveals that the majority of the lesions 58.7% with ADC value $\leq 1.03 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ were for ($P < .005$) it should be (.....findings reveals that the majority of the lesions were 58.7% with ADC value $\leq 1.03 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ ($P < .005$)) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Given the scenario of breast carcinoma in india as a background with the importance of diffusion weighted imaging. The paragraph is corrected in agreement with the reviewer.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Many incorrect English phrases and Grammar were observed throughout the paper. Replace "carcinoma breast" with "breast carcinoma" 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tried to correct according to the reviewer.
Optional/General comments		All corrections are highlighted in yellow in the corrected manuscript.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	