Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IRJPAC_46775**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ANTIMICROBIAL POTENTIAL OF Pelargonium citrosum AND Rosmarinus officinalis ESSENTIAL OILS.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The goal of this work was to investigate the antibacterial and antifungal potential of the essential oils extracted from R. *officinalis and P. citrosum* against Gram-positive bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis*) and Gram-negative bacteria (*Pseudomonus aeruginosa, Escherichia coli*) and fungus (*Candida albicans*). Scientifically robust and technically sound work, important results were obtained but with insufficient description of important the experimental part, especially part **Antimicrobial assay**. The definition of the concentration of used EO should be described as well more exactly. For more details see yellowed notes directly in the manuscript.	➢ The experimental part has been detailed in the revised manuscript ➢ The definition of concentration used (i.e. 6%v/v) is in relation to the extract used and the DMSO dissolved in. ➢ (The antimicrobial effect was tested in comparison with reference antibacterial substances (or positive controls), used as microcapsules for antibiograms…….) *The standards were used in the same procedure in place of the plant extract as the reference.* The revised manuscript incorporates the corrections with yellow highlights. ➢ "Essential oils are botanical extracts obtained by hydrodistilation with one typical characteristic: a complex chemical composition that can vary with several factors. With chemical diversity appears a wide range of bioactivities. As a result, the study of any bioactivity of an essential oil without the chromatographic characterization is a worthless work." *The GC-MS analysis on the essential oils has been done in a similar paper that was earlier sent to this platform for publishing* ➢ The question on the references has been addressed on the revised manuscript
Minor REVISION comments	I have no additional minor comments.	
Optional/General comments	Simply and classically well written manuscript has an objective discreapancy – see above. I recommend the manuscript in this form for publication but only after small but important revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	