



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_46807
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and validation of HPLC method for the determination and quantification of colchicine in Gloriosa superba
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Please rewrite Aim. Aim cannot claim success of experimental results.</li> <li>2) Why did authors use 2 different runtimes for the method? Please explain.</li> <li>3) Please check for the correctness of section numbering.</li> <li>4) Colchicine calibration curve concentrations were denoted as µg/mL.</li> <li>5) Whereas test concentrations were reported as %. This creates confusion for the readers. Please use µg/mL throughout and for test samples % can be mentioned.</li> <li>6) Colchicine did not show any retention under the given chromatography conditions. Its almost eluting at dead/void time. Chromatography retention needs to be significantly improved.</li> </ol>	Thank you for your comment. All corrections have been done in the manuscript
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	