SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JABB_46206**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Physiochemical properties and identification of elite genotypes for improved sorghum breeding in Tanzania**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	While I appreciate the care with which it was carried out, I have several limitations. Both pattern and interpretation of obtained results may be ambiguous. In case of PCA-based processing, its evaluation/methodology may be rechecked. In addition, the use of other tools may be considered. Or the application of collaborated interpretation with additive analytical data may be needed.	We appreciate good comments raised by the reviewer; however, we understand that there are several ways/patterns of presentation and interpretation of the results. Based on the objectives we thought the current pattern could provide good interpretation of the results. We have also rechecked the methodology

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	